

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/642,638	0	8/19/2003	Howard R. Levin	3659-70	3724	
	23117	7590 01/10/2005			EXAM	INER	
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 1100 N GLEBE ROAD					DEAK, LESLIE R		
	8TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22201-4714				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
					3762		
•			•		DATE MAN ED: 01/10/200	DATE MAN ED. 01/10/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A.
N	N

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	10/642,638	LEVIN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Leslie R. Deak	3762					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 O	ctober 2004.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>55-71</u> is/are pending in the application	1.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>55-71</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 August 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	,	(DTO 440)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	6) Other:						
	tion Summary Pa	rt of Paper No./Mail Date 20041229					

itu

Application/Control Number: 10/642,638 Page 2

Art Unit: 3762

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 55-71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5,211,850 to Shettigar et al in view of US 4.861,485 to Fecondini. Shettigar discloses a blood filter that removes blood from a patient, runs it through a filter, and returns filtered blood to the patient. The internal surface area of the filter may be between 0.1 and 5.0 m<sup>2</sup>, the length of the fibers may be 20-25 cm<sup>2</sup>, and the flow rate of the blood through the filter may be 50-3000 mL/min. With regard to the diameter of the fiber bundle. Shettigar discloses that the preferred embodiment of the device has a fiber length of 20cm, and illustrates an embodiment that shows the diameter of the fiber bundle to be less than 1/13<sup>th</sup> of the length of the fibers, indicating that the diameter of the bundle is less than 1.5cm. Shettigar discloses the claimed apparatus as explained above, but does not disclose the number of fibers, or the shear rate of the blood flow therethrough. However, Shettigar discloses that the filter dimensions, flow rate, blood shear rate, and transmembrane pressure are all interrelated in the art of blood filtration. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to adjust the dimensions, flow rate, and shear rate of the procedure and device via manipulation of the transmembrane pressure in order to effectively filter the

Application/Control Number: 10/642,638 Page 3

Art Unit: 3762

blood according to the desired outcome. Furthermore, Shettigar fails to disclose that the hollow fibers block passage of molecules larger than 50,000 Daltons and a straight filter. Fecondini discloses a straight hollow-fiber filter wherein one of the membranes has a molecular weight cutoff of 30,000-50,000 Daltons, thereby preventing larger molecules, such as albumin, from passing through the fibers. Furthermore, the fibers are arranged in a straight housing to provide efficient countercurrent exchange between the blood and diasylate in the filter. After traveling through the filter device, the blood wastes and blood plasma water (loss of blood plasma water results in a concentration of the blood) are passed out of the diasylate outlet. The passage is controlled by means of pressure regulation, which may comprise a pump. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the Shettigar device by using a smaller pore size in the membrane to prevent albumin transfer, constructing the filter in a straight shape to provide for more efficient exchange, and removing the blood and plasma water as waste, as taught by Fecondini.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 55-69 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 10/642,638 Page 4

Art Unit: 3762

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie R. Deak whose telephone number is 571-272-4943. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00, every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela D. Sykes can be reached on 571-272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/642,638

Art Unit: 3762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

29 December 2004

ANGELA D. SYKES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Cingil. After